



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,847	12/21/2001	Thaylen K. Leany	03DV-9089	1175

7590 04/13/2004

John S. Beulick  
Armstrong Teasdale LLP  
Suite 2600  
One Metropolitan Sq.  
St. Louis, MO 63102

EXAMINER

MORRISON, NASCHICA SANDERS

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3632

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	10/026,847	LEANY ET AL.	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Naschica S Morrison	3632	<i>MLW</i>

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Naschica S Morrison. (3) Michael Noble.  
 (2) Robert Reeser. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 April 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-20 generally.

Identification of prior art discussed: Story '014, Boede et al '809, Fisher '314, and APA of Fig. 1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*Naschica S Morrison*  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant provided a brief description of the background of the invention. Applicant argued that the combination of the references (specifically Story, Fisher and Boede) does not teach providing a motor housing with raised projections and attaching the fasteners within the projections such that the head of the fasteners is coplanar with the interior surface of the housing. Applicant also suggested that the proposed combination teaches against the present invention. Applicant stated that the head of the fastener being coplanar with the interior surface of the motor housing permits the fasteners to be mounted such that they do not interfere with the rotating components enclosed by the housing.